

PRESENTERS

Liz Bulger, Barrister, Christchurch

Liz is a criminal specialist experienced in quasi-criminal prosecutions with trial and appeal experience at all levels. She is a member of the NZLS Criminal Law Committee and a co-ordinator for the NZLS Duty Solicitor Training Programme in Christchurch.

Alison Hill, Legal Service Agency, Wellington

Alison Hill is the Manager of Policy and Development at the Legal Services Agency. She leads teams responsible for advising on the design and implementation of the new legislation, and on the design of approaches to improve the sustainability of legal aid funding. She has extensive experience in the justice sector in both strategic and operational roles.

Jonathan Krebs, Barrister, Napier

Jonathan has a varied practice specializing in criminal and civil litigation. He is experienced in prosecution and defence work. Jonathan has been convener of the NZLS Criminal Law Committee since 2006.

Michele McCreadie, Legal Service Agency, Wellington

Michele McCreadie is the Manager of Service Design and Development, leading on delivering the vision of the Government and the Agency's Board for customer focused, high quality and efficient legal aid services. She has previously worked in a number of senior and project roles in the public sector both in New Zealand and the United Kingdom.

Acknowledgement

The presenters would like to acknowledge the valuable assistance of Bryan Pay, Senior Policy Analyst, Legal Services Agency.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION: THE PURPOSE OF THESE SEMINARS..... | 1 |
| 2. OVERVIEW OF CHANGES TO LEGAL AID..... | 3 |
| INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| <i>New quality framework</i> | 3 |
| <i>This is only the beginning of change</i> | 3 |
| THE LEGAL AID REVIEW..... | 3 |
| THE GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE..... | 4 |
| NEW LEGISLATION: THE LEGAL SERVICES ACT 2011 | 4 |
| FURTHER PLANNED CHANGES AIMED AT LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY..... | 5 |
| <i>Changes to purchasing approaches</i> | 6 |
| <i>Legislative change: eligibility criteria</i> | 6 |
| <i>Legislative change: user charge and repayments</i> | 6 |
| 3. CHANGE BEGUN UNDER THE EXISTING LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK..... | 9 |
| EXPANSION OF THE PUBLIC DEFENCE SERVICE..... | 9 |
| <i>Summary of the changes</i> | 9 |
| <i>Background to PDS expansion</i> | 9 |
| <i>Expansion of the PDS in 2009-2010</i> | 10 |
| <i>Further expansion of the PDS in 2011-2012</i> | 10 |
| <i>Structure of the PDS</i> | 10 |
| <i>Focus on high-quality advice and representation</i> | 11 |
| <i>The PDS and the quality framework</i> | 11 |
| <i>PDS leadership of the Duty Lawyer Service</i> | 11 |
| <i>PDS: other matters</i> | 11 |
| CHANGES TO THE DUTY LAWYER SERVICE..... | 12 |
| <i>Summary of the changes</i> | 12 |
| <i>Background to the duty lawyer reforms</i> | 12 |
| <i>The importance of PDS involvement and leadership</i> | 13 |
| <i>What do the duty lawyer changes mean for lawyers?</i> | 13 |
| CRIMINAL CASE ASSIGNMENT | 14 |
| <i>Summary of the changes</i> | 14 |
| <i>Aims of the new rotational assignment system</i> | 14 |
| <i>Consultation on changes to assignment</i> | 15 |
| <i>Exceptions to strict rotation for category 1 and 2 cases</i> | 15 |
| <i>Simplified application form for summary criminal cases</i> | 15 |
| A CENTRALISED COMPLAINTS MANAGEMENT PROCESS | 15 |
| <i>Summary of the changes</i> | 15 |
| <i>The complaints process</i> | 16 |
| <i>Sanctions under the complaints process</i> | 17 |
| <i>Complaints under the new Act</i> | 17 |
| 4. NEW LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK AND NEW STRUCTURES | 19 |
| SUMMARY OF THE CHANGES | 19 |
| LEGAL AID FUNCTIONS TRANSFERRED TO MINISTRY OF JUSTICE | 19 |
| NEW PURPOSE OF THE ACT: BALANCING ACCESS TO JUSTICE AGAINST EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY .. | 20 |
| ROLE OF THE SECRETARY FOR JUSTICE AND THE LEGAL SERVICES COMMISSIONER | 20 |
| <i>Role of the Secretary for Justice</i> | 20 |
| <i>Role of the Legal Services Commissioner</i> | 21 |
| STATUS OF PUBLIC DEFENCE SERVICE..... | 22 |
| NEW LEGAL SERVICES AND TREATY GROUP | 22 |
| DUTY SOLICITOR AND POLICE DETENTION LEGAL ASSISTANCE SCHEMES NO LONGER PRESCRIBED BY LEGISLATION..... | 23 |
| 5. NEW QUALITY FRAMEWORK AND REVIEW AUTHORITY | 25 |
| SUMMARY OF THE CHANGES | 25 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION: NEW FRAMEWORK FOR APPROVALS, CONTRACTS AND ONGOING MONITORING | 25 |
| PROVIDER APPROVAL PROCESS | 26 |
| <i>Introduction</i> | 26 |
| <i>Applications for provider approval</i> | 29 |
| CONTRACTS | 36 |
| <i>Transitional arrangements for contracts</i> | 36 |
| <i>New contracts</i> | 37 |
| <i>Practice standards to be included in contracts</i> | 37 |
| <i>Quality assurance checks, auditing and monitoring</i> | 38 |
| <i>Complaints management</i> | 39 |
| <i>Performance review and cancellation of approval</i> | 42 |
| REVIEW AUTHORITY | 45 |
| <i>Functions of the Review Authority</i> | 45 |
| <i>Applying for a review</i> | 45 |
| <i>Where do I get more information on the Review Authority?</i> | 45 |
| 6. INTERIM GRANTS | 47 |
| SUMMARY OF CHANGES | 47 |
| WHEN INTERIM AID CAN BE GRANTED..... | 47 |
| <i>Interim grants: Only to allow informed decisions on substantive applications</i> | 47 |
| <i>Types of information to be gathered during an interim grant</i> | 47 |
| <i>No interim grants for Waitangi Tribunal cases</i> | 48 |
| <i>Notifying the applicant and the legal aid provider</i> | 48 |
| REPAYMENT OF INTERIM GRANTS..... | 48 |
| WHEN AN INTERIM GRANT WILL CEASE..... | 48 |
| <i>Interim grant ceases automatically when application is decided</i> | 48 |
| <i>Decision by Commissioner to stop interim grant in other circumstances</i> | 49 |
| WHAT HAPPENS AFTER A DECISION IS MADE ON THE APPLICATION..... | 49 |
| <i>After approval: some points to note</i> | 49 |
| <i>After a refusal: some points to note</i> | 49 |
| AMENDMENTS TO INTERIM GRANTS | 49 |
| 7. PROVIDER PAYMENTS AND NEW INVOICING REQUIREMENTS | 51 |
| SUMMARY OF THE CHANGES | 51 |
| INTRODUCTION | 51 |
| <i>Background to new three-monthly invoicing requirements</i> | 51 |
| <i>Change from November 2011: impact of fixed fees and intensive case management</i> | 51 |
| NEW TIMEFRAMES FOR INVOICING: USUALLY THREE-MONTHLY | 52 |
| <i>The new regulation</i> | 52 |
| TRANSITION TO NEW INVOICING SYSTEM | 52 |
| INVOICING PROCEDURES | 52 |
| <i>Changes to invoices</i> | 52 |
| <i>Circumstances when invoices will not be paid</i> | 53 |
| 8. WAITANGI TRIBUNAL CLAIMS AND NEGOTIATIONS..... | 55 |
| SUMMARY OF THE CHANGES | 55 |
| LEGAL AID NO LONGER AVAILABLE FOR TREATY SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS | 55 |
| <i>Transfer of funding to Office of Treaty Settlements</i> | 55 |
| <i>Applying for funding for settlement negotiations: what legal aid providers need to know</i> | 55 |
| <i>Transitional provisions and transfer to OTS</i> | 56 |
| OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM THE WAITANGI TRIBUNAL..... | 56 |
| <i>New power to require updated information</i> | 56 |
| <i>The information required by regulations</i> | 56 |
| <i>Operational policies for Waitangi Tribunal legal aid</i> | 57 |
| 9. RECONSIDERATIONS AND REVIEWS..... | 59 |
| SUMMARY OF THE CHANGES | 59 |
| RECONSIDERATIONS BY THE LEGAL SERVICES COMMISSIONER | 59 |
| <i>Key changes to reconsiderations</i> | 59 |
| <i>Reconsideration provisions carried over from the 2000 Act</i> | 60 |
| <i>Transitional arrangements for reconsiderations</i> | 60 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| REVIEWS BY THE LEGAL AID TRIBUNAL | 61 |
| <i>Key changes to review provisions</i> | 61 |
| <i>Review provisions carried over from the 2000 Act</i> | 63 |
| <i>Transitional arrangements for reviews</i> | 63 |
| <i>Where do I get more information on the Legal Aid Tribunal?</i> | 64 |
| 10. WIDER JUSTICE REFORM..... | 65 |
| INTRODUCTION | 65 |
| THE FUTURE COURT SERVICES PROGRAMME | 65 |
| <i>Projects included in the FCSP</i> | 65 |
| CRIMINAL PROCEDURE REFORM AND MODERNISATION | 66 |
| <i>Aims of the Criminal Procedure (Simplification) Project</i> | 66 |
| <i>How will the Simplification Project achieve these aims?</i> | 66 |
| <i>Re-categorising offences</i> | 66 |
| <i>New case management procedures</i> | 67 |
| <i>Timeframe for implementing the new legislation</i> | 67 |
| <i>What does Simplification mean for criminal legal aid providers?</i> | 67 |
| APPENDIX: LEGAL SERVICES ACT 2011 | 69 |